## THE ANNALS

AND

## MAGAZINE OF NATURAL HISTORY.

No. 49. OCTOBER 1841.

IX.—Observations on the Rodentia. By G. R. WATERHOUSE, Esq., Curator to the Zoological Society of London.

[With a Plate.]

[Continued from p. 600, vol. iii., N. S., of the Mag. Nat. Hist.]

Family VI. BATHYERGIDÆ.

This family appears to be entirely confined to Southern Africa, and is composed of but few known species, and these constitute the two genera *Bathyergus* of Illiger and *Orycterus* of F. Cuvier.

The situation which the *Bathyergidæ* should occupy among the Rodents is difficult to determine. They agree with the Hystrices, Capromys, Echimys, &c. in having the descending ramus of the lower jaw thrown out from the outer side of the alveolar portion; but in the genera just mentioned the descending ramus is of a triangular form, and the posterior angle is produced and pointed. They moreover always have a large ant-orbital opening, the palate is almost always deeply emarginated behind, and the malar bone is deep and compressed. These and other characters which I shall have to notice in my next paper, I seek for in vain in the Bathyergidæ; and on the other hand, when I turn to the Murine or Sciurine groups, I do not find a single example in which, combined with other characters peculiar to those groups, the descending ramus of the lower jaw is not thrown out from the under side of the alveolar portion. Of the Bathyergidæ I am acquainted with but four species\*, and of only two of these have I had an opportunity of examining the skulls, viz. Bathyergus capensis and Orycterus maritimus.

Their chief characters are as follows:-

Ann. & Mag. N. Hist. Vol. viii.

*Dentition.*—Incisors broad; molars  $\frac{3-3}{3-3}$  or  $\frac{4-4}{4-4}$ , subrooted, small, equal, or very nearly equal, in size, and the series on each side of each jaw parallel.

In Bathyergus the incisors of the upper jaw are less curved than in most Rodents, and remarkable for their great length,

G

<sup>\*</sup> Bathyergus capensis, Desm., B. cæcutiens, Licht. (which is the B. Hottentotus of Lesson and Garn., and the B. Ludwigii of Dr. Smith), B. Damarensis, Ogilby, and Orycterus maritimus.

being extended backwards behind the last molars; they are destitute of longitudinal grooves. The molars are  $\frac{3-3}{3-3}$ ; the entering folds of enamel are very simple, there being but one

external and one internal deep fold to each tooth.

In Orycterus the upper incisors are shorter and much more curved, and are furnished with a deep longitudinal groove in front; those of the lower jaw are destitute of grooves, are very deep from front to back, and slightly concave at the sides. The molars are  $\frac{4-4}{4-4}$ , nearly cylindrical, but their antero-posterior diameter is the shortest, excepting in the front molar of the lower jaw. In the skulls before me the teeth are worn, and exhibit scarcely any trace of folds of enamel entering into the body of the tooth.

In both genera the enamel of the incisors is colourless, and the incisors of the lower jaw are extended backwards to the

condyle.

The skull of the Bathyergidæ is rather broad, much contracted between the orbits, and (as in other Rodents which live for the most part underground\*) the cranial cavity is proportionally small. The nasal bones are narrow and elongated. In Orycterus they are nearly of equal width throughout, whilst in Bathyergus capensis they are considerably broader behind than before. The anterior root of the zygomatic arch is formed entirely of the superior maxillary bone, and incloses a small ant-orbital opening: the lower boundary of the zygomatic process of the maxillary is slightly concave, so that the anterior portion of the zygomatic arch is slightly thrown up from the plane of the palate. This is more decidedly the case in Bathyergus Capensis, in which the ant-orbital foramen is rather larger. The malar bone is of moderate size, and is extended backwards so as to enter into the composition of the glenoid cavity. The portion of the palate situated between the molar teeth is remarkably contracted, and this part descends below the level of the anterior portion of the palate, and is continued some distance beyond the last molar. The incisive foramina are very small, and there are no openings in the posterior portion of the palate. The glenoid cavity of the temporal bone is very broad. In Orycterus the posterior portion of the malar bone forms a longitudinal ridge, which no doubt tends to restrain to a certain extent the lateral motion of the condyles of the lower jaw, but in B. capensis this ridge is wanting. The auditory bullæ are of moderate size—larger in Orycterus maritimus than in B. capensis. In the former animal the upper surface of the skull forms nearly a straight

<sup>\*</sup> Compare the skull of the burrowing Marmots with that of their congeners the Squirrels, and that of Spalax with the Rats.

line in the longitudinal direction, being but slightly arched, and the plane of the occiput is vertical; whereas in *B. Capensis* the plane of the occiput is slightly oblique, receding from the upper part.

Lower Jaw.—The lower jaw has the descending ramus (a) Plate II, thrown out from the outer side of the alveolus of the

inferior incisor (b).

In Bathyergus Capensis the descending ramus approaches somewhat to a semicircular form: its greatest extent is in the longitudinal direction of the jaw, and its greatest depth is beneath the condyle; in front it is curved suddenly upwards and inwards so as to form an obtuse angle at (c).

In Orycterus maritimus the descending ramus is thrown much more boldly out from the alveolar portion of the jaw, and is of very great extent; the lower part is curved inwards, and the posterior part is produced far beyond the line of the condyle, becoming gradually narrower towards the extremity.

The coronoid process is small in these two genera, and situated in a line with the last molar. The condyloid process is

short, and the articular surface is large and rounded.

As regards the characters furnished by the skull and lower iaw, the present group is very isolated. In the structure of the molar teeth and in the contracted form of the palate between them, *Bathyergus* approaches most nearly to *Spalax\**, but in other cranial characters there is a wide difference.

The skull of the animal figured by Dr. Ruppell under the name *Bathyergus splendens* agrees in most of its characters with that of *Spalax*, and in some respects links that genus with *Rhizomys*; here the ant-orbital foramen is of moderate

\* I was induced, owing to the remarkable form of the lower jaw, to place Spalax near to Geomys, but upon re-examination I fear I have given too much weight to that character. This genus I have no doubt will prove an aberrant form of the Muridæ, and I think it will be more correct to regard the Arvicolidæ as constituting a subfamily of that group than as a section of equal importance. Since the publication of the former parts of this paper I have had an opportunity of examining several skulls belonging to species of these sections which I had not before seen. The skull of Rhizomys I was only acquainted with through Temminck's figure; the skull itself I have now examined, and I am quite satisfied that the animal belongs to the family Muridæ. The skull of Ascomys mexicanus I find agrees in all essential characters with that of Geomys (see fig. 71. p. 596, Mag. Nat. Hist. vol. iii. New Series). The differences between Ascomys, Geomys, Diplostoma (Richardson) and *Pseudostoma* are, it appears to me, not of sufficient importance to entitle them to rank as distinct genera. These genera or subgenera form a very natural little section of the Arvicolidæ, differing from the more typical species of that group in having  $\frac{4-4}{4-4}$  molars, and these small and of very simple structure, and also in the absence of any ant-orbital foramen for the transmission of a portion of the masseter muscle: the very small slit found at the root of the zygoma in these animals evidently serves only to admit the passage of the ant-orbital nerve.

G 2

size and opens obliquely upwards, the coronoid process is long as in Spalax, the lower incisor runs backwards and outwards, and the thin layer of bone covering its base forms a protuberance (d) on the outer side of the condyle (e), in this respect resembling both Rhizomys and Spalax. The descending ramus springs from the under side of the alveolus of the inferior incisor, and not from the outer side, as in the true Bathyergidæ. The posterior part of the descending ramus is not directed outwards, as in Spalax; but is on the same plane as the horizontal ramus, as in Rhizomys. The molar teeth agree closely with those of Spalax, as well as with Bathyergus, being nearly cylindrical, of equal size, and having but one external and one internal fold of enamel; the incisors are proportionally broader than in Spalax.

The great difference between the skull of Bathyergus splendens and that of Spalax typhlus consists in the form of the occiput, it being vertical in the former, or very nearly so; but I very much suspect that the peculiar form of occiput which we observe in Spalax typhlus (which is the only species of the genus the skull of which I have seen) does not constitute a character indicative of affinity. I think it very likely to vary

in the different species of the genus.

On the whole, it appears to me, that the animal called Bathyergus splendens by Ruppell should be removed from the genus in which he has placed it, and might be arranged either with the species of Spalax or perhaps between that genus and Rhizomys, and I think it probable Rodents may hereafter be found connecting the Bathyergidæ with these genera.

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE II.

Fig. 1. Skull of Orycterus mariti-

1 a. Under side of do.

- 1 b. Under side of lower jaw. a, a. Descending ramus. b. Alveolus of incisor.
- 1 c. Lower jaw viewed from above.
- 1 d. Side view of do.

Fig. 2. Bathyergus capensis.

Fig. 2a. Lower jaw of Bathyergus capensis, viewed from beneath.

2 b. Side view of do.

Fig. 3. Bathyergus splendens of Ruppell.

3 a. Side view of skull.

3 b. Lower jaw, view from above. d. Termination of incisor.

e. Condyle.

X.—Observations\* on the Functions performed by the Hairs on the Stigma in Campanulaceæ, Compositæ, and other Plants. By ARTHUR HILL HASSALL, M.R.C.S.L., Corresponding Member of the Dublin Natural History Society.

I HAVE read with much interest some observations by M. Adolphe Brongniart on the 'Functions of the Hairs on the

<sup>\*</sup> The principal of these observations were written during the summer of last year.